3. *Hyderabad Habitat Improvement Project: Phase—III*: Covering of 8 lakhs families in 300 identified slums at a total estimated cost of Rs. 62.34 crores.

IRDP Beneficiaries

3065. SHRI SHANTI TYAGI: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of beneficiaries covered under the IRDP in the country in the Seventh Plan upto June, 1988, State-wise;

(b) the loan given to these beneficiaries by all financial institutions and the share of bank assistance in the total amount of loan; and

(c) what are the main activities chosen by the beneficiaries to develop self employment?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) A Statement indicating State-wise and year-wise families assisted under IRDP in the Seventh Plan is given in Statement-I (*See* below).

(b) A Statement indicating year-wise loan given to these beneficiaries by the cooperatives and commercial banks is given in Statement-II (*See* below).

(c) Under IRDP assistance is given to the beneficiaries for taking up any viable bank financed projects in primary, secondary and tertiary sectors. During 1987-88 about 41 per cent of the families were assisted in primary sector, 19 per cent in secondary sector and 40 per cent in tertiary sector. In primary sector about 17 per cent were assisted in milch cattle and in secondary sector about 9 per cent were assisted in villages industries.

Statement-I

Families assisted under IRDP during Seventh Plan (year-wise)

(In Nos.)

States/UTs.	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89 (till June'88 (Provisional)	Total up to June' 88
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Andhra Pradesh . . .	180115	256944	263559	23182	723800
2. Arunachal Pradesh . . .	11358	13702	11683	523	37266
3. Assam	51843	68019	66144	7393	193399
4. Bihar	421135	535155	657334	57700	1671324
5. Goa	7052	9050	5945	987	23034
6. Gujarat	101275	147527	154124	25235	428161
7. Haryana	48496	50420	53197	4799	156912
8. Himachal Pradesh . . .	33574	36955	32481	4348	107358

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9.	J & K	41329	26718	29083	5427	102557
10.	Karnataka	148947	145275	160135	16653	470857
11.	Kerala	71376	143399	110684	12624	338083
12.	Madhya Pradesh	249591	363582	404358	47417	1064948
13.	Maharashtra	190174	238118	292603	27427	748322
14.	Manipur	7487	13673	6556	615	2833
15.	Meghalaya	7129	11970	3606	808	23516
16.	Mizoram	2623	8438	4495	1012	16568
17.	Nagaland	7525	4318	5719	292	17854
18.	Orissa	173427	207872	304732	29668	715690
19.	Punjab	64612	99935	74367	7430	246344
20.	Rajasthan	140503	164472	214323	14150	533448
21.	Sikkim	2185	2728	2167	79	7159
22.	Tamil Nadu	209696	258823	276415	44610	789542
23.	Tripura	14148	15779	20932	4216	55670
24.	Uttar Pradesh	580802	666474	793923	59056	2100256
25.	West Bengal	287052	243921	288277	43274	862524
26.	A&N Islands	742	2303	1588	382	5017
27.	Chandigarh	116	120	61	..	297
28.	D&N Haveli	677	1080	455	59	227
29.	Delhi	2146	4380	3062	331	9916
30.	Daman & Diu			*	*	*
31.	Lakshadweep	554	444	459	15	1472
32.	Pondicherry	3142	5675	4829	156	13802
	ALL INDIA	3060678	3747269	4247296	439068	11495111

*—Included in Goa State.

Statement-II

Credit disbursed during Seventh Plan (year-wise)

(*Rs. in crores*)

Sl. No.	Year	Credit mobilised by		
		Cooperative Bank	Commercial Banks	Total
1	1985-86	95.62	629.78	730.15
2	1986-87	122.38	892.51	1014.88
3	1987-88	153.66	1021.33	1175.35
4	1988-89 (till June, 1988)	15.85	89.28	119.09
	TOTAL upto June, 1988	387.51	2632.90	3039.47

Inadequate Car Parking Space provided by World Trade Centre and Bharat Hotel Authorities

3066. SHRI D. B. CHANDRE GOWDA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the World Trade Centre and Bharat Hotels authorities in the Connaught Place, New Delhi have failed to provide adequate car parking space to the occupants who are paying exorbitant rent;

(b) whether the said World Trade Centre and Bharat Hotels have violated the NDMC rules;

(c) whether the owners of the said buildings have sent the revised plans to NDMC for approval; and

(d) if so, whether Government propose to reject the revised plan and what action Government propose to take against the owner?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) No, Sir.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Revised/Completion Plans in question were rejected on 4-8-88 by the NDMC who do not consider that any further action is required at this stage.

Revision of Pay Scales for agricultural scientists

3067. SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the pay scales and other benefits of the scientists working in various institutions of the Ministry of Agriculture have not been revised so far in spite of the implementation of Fourth Pay Commission Report in almost all Government Offices;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether it is also a fact that the pay scales of scientists working at State Agriculture Universities have been revised in terms of recommendations of UGC and if so, the reasons for the differential treatment?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND EDUCATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI HARI KRISHNA SHASTRI): (a) and (b) The pay scales recommended by the Fourth Pay